

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

N

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/712,648	11/12/2003	Jim Belcher	09386/100M230-US1 8968	
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 09/27/2007 ARBY P.C.	·	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 770			ORTIZ, BELIX M	
Church Street Station New York, NY 10008-0770			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2164	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/27/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
•	10/712,648	BELCHER ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
<u> </u>	Belix M. Ortiz	2164			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 August 2007</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☒ This	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of the correction of the original transfer of the correction of the correction of the original transfer of the correction of the corre	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Remarks

1. In response to communications files on 7-August-2007. Therefore, claims 1-3 are presently pending in the application.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) (Eff. Filing date of application: 11/12/2002) as being anticipated by <u>Kim et al.</u> (U.S. pub. 2004/0139483) (Eff. Filing date of application: 2/21/2002).

As to claim 1, <u>Kim et al</u>. teaches a system for remote authoring of content (see abstract), comprising:

a plurality of content files (see abstract; figure 2, character 300; and paragraph 2); and

Application/Control Number: 10/712,648

Art Unit: 2164

Page 3

an authoring system storing the plurality of content files (see paragraph 20), comprising;

an identification system to identify the plurality of content files (see paragraph 40);

a relation system to relate related content files (see paragraphs 4 and 41);
a query system to request content files from a server (see paragraphs 20 and 23);

a reference database to store information about the plurality of content files (see figure 3, character s120 and paragraph 41);

a collection system to convert the plurality of content files into at least one collection file (see paragraph 40);

a conversion module to covert the at least one collection file into a master copy, wherein the master copy is in one of a plurality of formats (see abstract and paragraphs 12-13); and

a URL configuration system to identify a URL entered by a user into the at least one collection file and to communicate with a redirect server to activate the URL (see claim 3 and paragraph 20).

As to claim 2, <u>Kim et al.</u> teaches the system further comprising a remote workstation for a user to access the authoring system (see paragraphs 2, 8, and 47).

Application/Control Number: 10/712,648 Page 4

Art Unit: 2164

As to claim 3, <u>Kim et al.</u> teaches a method of remotely authoring content (see abstract), comprising:

transmitting a plurality of content files in various formats to an authoring system (see abstract; figure 2, character 300; and paragraph 2);

identifying, by the authoring system, the plurality of content files (see paragraph 40);

relating, by the authoring system, the plurality of content files (see paragraphs 4 and 41);

storing the related content files together (see figure 3, character s120 and paragraph 41);

querying a server for additional content files (see paragraphs 20 and 23);

populating a reference database with information related to the plurality of content files (see figure 3, character s120 and paragraph 41);

creating, by a user, at least one collection file including content files (see paragraph 40);

producing, by the authoring system, a master copy of the collection file in a format specified by the user (see abstract and paragraphs 12-13);

determining if a URL is in the collection file (see claim 3 and paragraph 20); communicating with a redirect server to activate the URL (see claim 3 and paragraph 20).

Art Unit: 2164

## Response to Amendment

4. The Declaration filed on July 23, 2007 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the Kim et al. (U.S. pub. 2004/0139483) reference.

The Declaration under 37 CFR 1.131 is defective for the following reasons:

- --There are no explanations of how the source code correlates to each step of the claimed invention.
- --There is no evidence that the source code was tested and found to execute successfully prior to the effective date of the prior art.
- --Names of the authors of the source has been blocked off, making it questionable as to whether the source code was invented by the inventors of the present application. While applicant is permitted to block off the dates of reduction practice while seeking to swear behind a reference (MPEP 715.07, Section II), the MPEP does not allow for blocking off the names of authors in evidence, and blocking off such names makes it impossible to correlate the authorship of the code to the inventorship of the application.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Belix M. Ortiz whose telephone number is 571-272-4081. The examiner can normally be reached on moday-friday 9am-5pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/712,648

Art Unit: 2164

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

bmo

September 22, 2007

CHARLES RONES
SUPERAISORY PATENT EXAMINER